

Working Paper No. 80, 2015

**Center and Periphery in
International Monetary Relations**
Implications for Macroeconomic Policies in
Emerging Economies

Luiz Fernando de Paula, Barbara Fritz
and Daniela M. Prates



Working Paper Series



desiguALdades.net

Research Network on Interdependent
Inequalities in Latin America

desiguALdades.net Working Paper Series

Published by **desiguALdades.net** International Research Network on Interdependent Inequalities in Latin America

The **desiguALdades.net** Working Paper Series serves to disseminate first results of ongoing research projects in order to encourage the exchange of ideas and academic debate. Inclusion of a paper in the **desiguALdades.net** Working Paper Series does not constitute publication and should not limit publication in any other venue. Copyright remains with the authors.

Copyright for this edition: Barbara Fritz, Daniela M. Prates and Luiz Fernando de Paula

Editing and Production: Barbara Göbel / Paul Talcott / Cristina Samper

All working papers are available free of charge on our website www.desiguALdades.net.

de Paula, Luiz Fernando; Fritz, Barbara and Prates, Daniela M. 2015: "Center and Periphery in International Monetary Relations: Implications for Macroeconomic Policies in Emerging Economies", **desiguALdades.net** Working Paper Series 80, Berlin: **desiguALdades.net** International Research Network on Interdependent Inequalities in Latin America.

This paper was produced in the context of Barbara Fritz's work as Principal Investigator in the second phase of **desiguALdades.net**.

desiguALdades.net International Research Network on Interdependent Inequalities in Latin America cannot be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of information contained in this Working Paper; the views and opinions expressed are solely those of the author or authors and do not necessarily reflect those of **desiguALdades.net**.

Center and Periphery in International Monetary Relations

Implications for Macroeconomic Policies in Emerging Economies

Luiz Fernando de Paula, Barbara Fritz and Daniela M. Prates

Abstract

We translate the structuralist center-periphery approach to international currency relations and analyze the implications for macroeconomic policies of emerging market countries. While the Post Keynesian literature offers a rather clear concept for growth-oriented policies, it is necessary to adapt them for peripheral emerging economies. We base our analysis of an appropriate Keynesian policy mix for these countries on the concept of currency hierarchy, where the currencies of peripheral emerging economies have a lower liquidity premium than the currencies of advanced economies. Under these conditions, we argue that domestic economic policy coordination should lay a major focus on a low policy rate and, especially, a competitive exchange rate for obtaining, at least, a balanced current account, in order to prevent boom-bust-cycles in capital flows with subsequent financial crises and their damaging effects on employment and growth. We conclude that it is a rather ambitious and long term goal to climb up the currency hierarchy, especially under the current conditions of financial globalization.

Keywords: financial globalization | currency hierarchy | Keynesian policies

Biographical Notes

Luiz Fernando de Paula is Professor of Economics at the Faculty of Economics of the Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro and researcher at Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq). His fields of expertise are development economics and international macroeconomics, money and finance, with a special focus on Latin America and Brazil.

Barbara Fritz is Professor of Economics at Freie Universität Berlin, Lateinamerika-Institut and Department of Economics. Her fields of expertise are development economics and international macroeconomics, money and finance, with a special focus on Latin America. She coordinated Research Area 1: Socio-economic Inequalities, from 2009-2014 and is currently Principal Investigator of desiguALdades.net.

Daniela Magalhães Prates is Associate Professor of the Institute of Economics of the Universidade Estadual de Campinas and researcher at Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq). Her fields of expertise are international and monetary economics, with a special focus on Brazil and major emerging economies.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Keynesian Economic Policy	3
3.	Currency Hierarchy under Financial Globalization	6
3.1	Currency Hierarchy	6
3.2	Asymmetric Financial Integration	7
4.	Limits of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies	9
4.1	Exchange Rate Policy	9
4.2	Monetary Policy	11
5.	Currency Hierarchy and Keynesian Economic Policies for Emerging Economies	13
5.1	Exchange Rate Policies	13
5.2	Policies to Achieve Macroeconomic Stability and to Reduce the Interest Rate Differential	15
6.	Conclusion	17
7.	Bibliography	19

1. Introduction¹

The position of each country in the international monetary system is highly relevant, for shaping both equality at the global and at the domestic level. Within the hierarchical and asymmetrical institutional arrangement of the international monetary system, the relative position of individual countries and their currencies strongly shape the chances for economic growth and stability. This has an impact first on global inequality, as it influences the domestic per capita income in relation to other countries. And the growth rate is also important for defining the policy space for redistributive policies at the domestic level.

Eichengreen et al (2007: 160) point out that economic agents tend to concentrate international portfolios and markets in few major currencies – the dollar, euro, yen, pound, and Swiss franc – and have limited appetite for adding additional currencies in their portfolios. Cohen (1998: 6; see also Cohen 2004) adopts the concept of “monetary pyramid” to classify the different types of currencies, which should be distinguished according to their degree of “monetary internationalization”. In this paper we adopt a similar concept of currency hierarchy. The key currency, which has a privileged position, is placed at the top of the hierarchy because it has the highest degree of liquidity.² The currencies issued by the other center countries (advanced economies) are in intermediate positions, and are also liquid currencies. At the opposite end are the currencies issued by peripheral countries (i.e., emerging market and developing economies), which are non-liquid currencies.

Hence, for this global monetary asymmetry, we can also use the center-periphery metaphor introduced by structuralist development economists as Prebisch (1950), and updated i.e. by Ocampo and Martin (2003). The peripheral condition – according to Prebisch’s original contribution - results from a given economy’s structural insertion in the international division of labor, which can be seen as organized in two poles. Usually this concept refers to production structures, the creation and dissemination of technical progress, and typical patterns of income distribution and employment creation. The importance of financial and monetary relations, as well as financial and

1 We are grateful for the comments of Luiz C. Bresser-Pereira, Gary Dymiski, Antonio C. Macedo e Silva, and Mario Possas.

2 Liquidity in this paper refers to the accessibility and convertibility of a currency. Davidson gives a more general definition: “The degree of liquidity depends on the degree of organization and orderliness of the relevant spot market. Depending on social practices and institutions, the degree of liquidity of any asset can change from time to time as the rules under which the spot market for any asset changes. Differences in degree of liquidity among assets are reflected in differences in the transaction costs and the stickiness of the money spot price over time, the smaller the transactions costs and/or the greater the stickiness, the greater the degree of liquidity of any asset” (Davidson, 1992: 46).

currency market relations, has not received due emphasis in the structuralist literature (both the “classic” and the “neo”, according to the taxonomy of Lustig 1988: 1; see also Bielschowsky 2015). We argue that this perspective on monetary and financial global relations offers a powerful explanation for global inequalities which is complementary to the traditional structuralist approach.

The existence of a center-periphery structure in the international monetary system is a historical reality beyond the peculiarities of the current state of financial globalization. As Flandreau and Sussmann (2005) show, international debt of Latin American countries, from the beginning of the creation of domestic currencies due to political independence, were confronted with the fact that even if bonds at the international market were denominated in their domestic currencies, they contained gold clauses, giving them the characteristics of foreign-exchange denominated debt.

This is what Eichengreen and Hausmann (2005: 6) label the “original sin” – a country’s inability to borrow abroad in own currency. Based on long-term empirical analysis, they find no correlation between original sin and domestic policy failures such as institutional quality, monetary credibility or fiscal solvency. In fact, they find that the only variably robustly correlated with original sin is country size. The higher the degree of original sin, the higher the degree of financial and growth volatility is, and the lower the long term growth rates are. With this, the higher the degree of original sin, the lower the economic per capita income is.

In this paper, we aim at bringing together the structuralist perspective with the Post Keynesian literature. The Post Keynesian approach also focuses on issues of economic instability as the result of market processes, and offers a rather clear concept for growth-oriented policies. From this perspective, the establishment of monetary stability alone is far from being sufficient to assure growth, as uncertainty undermines market coordination towards equilibrium with full employment. Thus, the State should take an active role in several kinds of economic policies. Specifically, monetary, exchange rate, fiscal, labor and sectorial policies should be coordinated to assure monetary and financial stability as well as sustainable growth and employment.

This set of policies usually is formulated or in general, or for center (or developed) economies specifically. But is this also adequate for peripheral emerging economies?³

3 Here we define peripheral emerging economies – using the original CEPAL concept (Prebisch, 1950) – as peripheral countries that are engaged in the context of financial globalization, which refers to the interpenetration of national monetary and financial markets with globalized markets. The terms “peripheral emerging”, “emerging” and “developing” will be used interchangeably as well as “center”, “advanced” and “developed”.

These countries are not only characterized by a lower level of productive sophistication and diversification. The State's ability to pursue economic policies also seems to be more limited due to the different quality of their currencies, which are not accepted at the global level. Moreover, the negative consequences of this difference seem to be exacerbated by financial globalization.

In this paper, we ask if under these conditions the same set of Keynesian policies is adequate and feasible for center and for peripheral emerging economies. What are the specific constraints faced by these economies to implement adequate policies for fostering sustainable growth? How should Keynesian policies be adopted in emerging economies under the environment of financial globalization?

Our main argument is that the international asymmetry related to the currency hierarchy, amplified by financial globalization, imposes major constraints to the adoption of Keynesian policies for these economies. Consequently, such policies should be adapted to allow for an increase of the policy space to pursue domestic policy goals, especially a competitive exchange rate and a low policy rate. Such economic policy conditions are a precondition for any redistributive policy to remedy social inequality. Therefore, the particular policies we identify are vital for reshaping the policy space.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 outlines the standard Post Keynesian approach to economic policy. In Section 3, we define the concept of currency hierarchy in general and under financial globalization. Sections 4 and 5 discuss the limits of and challenges for (Keynesian) monetary and exchange-rate policies for emerging economies, followed by a brief conclusion.

2. Keynesian Economic Policy

Keynesian policies, in a broader sense, have as their main objectives the achievement of full employment and macroeconomic stability. According to the Post Keynesian approach, there is no endogenous mechanism in a monetary economy which ensures that economic activity tends to full employment (Arestis and Sawyer 1998).

In a monetary economy, all assets, including money, have specific attributes that together determine their own rate of interest (r_a), or their total expected return:

(Equation 1)
$$r_a = a + q - c + l$$

where “ a ” is the expected appreciation (or depreciation) of the asset, “ q ” is the expected quasi-rent (or yield), “ c ” is the carrying cost, and “ l ” is the liquidity premium, which is the power of disposal that confers a potential convenience or security (Keynes 1936: Chapter 17).

Assuming perfect competition, assets with lower (higher) expected returns will be sold (purchased), until the equalization of yields occurs⁴. As liquidity is strongly valued in times of higher uncertainty, the liquidity attribute (“ l ”) is valued higher compared to the monetary returns ($a + q - c$) in moments of higher uncertainty. The overall effect is a reduction in the demand for capital assets, resulting in the postponement of real investment plans. The concept of a monetary economy results in the possibility of effective demand failures, as non-producible money can dominate labor-using capital as a means to accumulate wealth. Under radical uncertainty, to hold money or other liquid assets is a rational act since money provides flexibility to the agents in their portfolio due to its maximum liquidity (Carvalho 1992).

In a monetary economy determined by the non-neutrality of money and the principle of effective demand, economic policy is able to affect the real variables of the economy in the short and in the long run. In other words, nominal variables affect real variables in the long run because changes in the short term interest rate have permanent effects on investment decisions about capital assets (Arestis and Sawyer 2006a). Thus, a Keynesian policy refers to economic policies designed to boost demand in order to raise demand prices of capital assets with respect to money. Economic policy should affect aggregate private investment, as it can create a safe environment that stimulates private agents to make riskier choices – that deliver profits and generate employment – than just accumulating liquid assets. The objective of economic policy in this approach is related to macroeconomic stability, a broader concept than just price stability, as it aims to reduce the uncertainties that are intrinsic to business.

In order to reach multiple policy objectives – such as economic growth and price stability – it is necessary to have greater co-ordination of macroeconomic policies (fiscal, monetary, exchange rate, and income policies). Specific policies should never be implemented in isolation from other policies. A credible, well-coordinated and workable Keynesian economic policy would be one that: “(i) aims at unambiguous goals (economic growth, full employment and price stability) and leaves the least room for its tools to be used in contradiction with each other, or with other policy tools; (ii) makes use of tools suitable to its goals; and (iii) gives out clear signals to financial

4 We assume for simplicity the abstract concept of homogeneity of different classes of assets for all assets in equilibrium.

markets and entrepreneurs in order to stimulate them to act in the direction desired by the authorities” (Sicsú 2001: 673). Therefore, the success of Keynesian policies depends a great deal on private agents’ belief in its effectiveness.

Monetary policy through interest rate management can have a significant impact on the level of economic activity by influencing private agents’ portfolio composition in favor of both an increase in production (using current productive capacity) and the acquisition of capital goods. According to the Post Keynesian approach, as interest variations can have long-lasting effects on investment and small effects on the inflation rate, monetary policy should be conducted in a way to produce moderate changes in short-term rates in order to avoid negative effects on capital accumulation employment (Carvalho 1992: 38). Post Keynesian economists argue that “a monetary policy is required which ensures stability to the financial system and pitches interest rates as low as possible [...] for this may give some (possibly slight) stimulus to demand” (Arestis and Sawyer 1998: 189). The low elasticity of inflation to interest rates and the strong impact on investments decisions calls into question the efficacy of controlling the inflation rate only by means of an interest rate policy, as advocated in the inflation targeting regime framework. Inflation is seen not as a monetary phenomenon (Davidson 1994), but mostly as a symptom of a fight over income distribution (workers and capitalists), and of cost factors. Therefore, any price stabilization policy should consider the nature of the inflation and can include the use of buffer stocks of certain essential products, the adoption of some sort of income policy, and in special cases the adoption of prudential credit controls as necessary to limit the rate of expansion of aggregate demand (Arestis and Sawyer 2006b; Davidson 1994).

As for fiscal policy, during the economic slowdown, when agents’ expectations about the future have deteriorated, expansionary monetary policy can fail to stimulate an increase in the aggregate demand due to a rise in agents’ liquidity preferences. Under such conditions, expansionary monetary policy should be complemented by expansionary fiscal policy.⁵

Regarding exchange rate policy, since the collapse of the Bretton Woods system, exchange rates have been excessively volatile. Exchange rates, under the conditions of capital mobility and high international capital flows, are increasingly determined by portfolio decisions of global investors, and are more related to short-run outlooks than to sustainable long term developments. When aiming at full employment, exchange rate volatility should be avoided. For this purpose, some Post Keynesians recommend

5 For the sake of limited space, in the following we restrict our analysis to monetary and exchange rate policies, even if fiscal policies are a key element in a post Keynesian approach.

an international monetary system with (1) fixed exchange rates, (2) provisions to control capital flows, and (3) trigger mechanisms for automatically adjusting balance of payment disequilibria, shifting the burden of resolving trade imbalances on the surplus economies (Davidson 1994). The main objective is to create a safe and flexible (more elastic) international monetary system to expand effective global demand and the level of employment.

In the following, we seek to reformulate the Post Keynesian concept of macroeconomic policies by adjusting it to the specific monetary conditions of emerging economies. For this, we link the Post Keynesian perspective on uncertainty and the fundamental role of money with the concept of a currency hierarchy.

3. Currency Hierarchy under Financial Globalization

In this section, we define the concept of currency hierarchy in general (Section 3.1), and in turn we analyze the main features of the current international monetary and financial system, and some consequences of asymmetric international integration for emerging economies (Section 3.2).

3.1 Currency Hierarchy

In an open monetary economy, agents hold different financial assets denominated in a specific currency because they favorably estimate their total expected returns. Since these assets are held as a portfolio capital asset, Equation (1) above can be used to represent this behavior. For example, if $r_{an} > r_{am}$, then the asset denominated in currency n tend to appreciate relatively to the asset denominated in currency m . Thus, the process of price determination of these “currency assets” can be represented through the variables of this equation. We understand the variables a , q , c and l as attributes of assets denominated in a specific currency (Andrade and Prates 2013).

Pricing of currency assets is peculiar due to their distinctive traits. Under the Keynesian assumption of uncertainty, short run capital gains govern transactions in the currency markets, and expectations concerning the future evolution of exchange rates are the main determinants of the current rates (Davidson 1982; Harvey 2009). In particular, variable a , the expected appreciation/depreciation of the currency, will tend to be highly unstable and subjective. Variable q can be specified for ‘currency assets’ as the basic interest rate and variable c as the degree of financial openness of a country.

Yet, in the case of emerging currencies, the volatility of variable a tends to be higher due to their position at the lower end of the so-called currency hierarchy, a term which captures the existence of a center-periphery structure in the international monetary system. The center-periphery metaphor was introduced for economies as a whole by the structuralist economist Raúl Prebisch (1950), as highlighted in the introduction. The ‘peripheral condition’ results from a given economy’s structural insertion in the international division of labor, which can be seen as organized in two poles. This means that the international monetary system comprises a hierarchical and asymmetrical institutional arrangement, organized around a key currency, currently the US dollar. Under the Keynesian perspective adopted here, the key currency is placed at the top of the hierarchy because it has the highest degree of liquidity. The currencies issued by the other core countries are in intermediate positions, and are also liquid currencies, yet with a smaller degree of liquidity than the key currency. At the opposite end are the currencies issued by emerging countries (southern currencies), which are non-liquid currencies (Andrade and Prates 2013). The liquidity premium of these currencies is lower than that of the key currency and of those in the middle, labeled here as Northern currencies $I_s < I_n$ ($n = \text{North}$, $s = \text{South}$).

Thus, to compensate for the lower liquidity premium, these currencies have to offer a higher q , which is a policy variable, in order to try to achieve a higher a (currency appreciation), thus create conditions which are attractive for international investors, and/or to reduce c , i.e. the obstacles for capital inflows (or capital outflows), with the withdrawal of some capital account regulation. Only under these conditions the exchange market can come to equilibrium:

$$\text{(Equation 2)} \quad a_n + q_n - c_n + I_n = a_s + q_s - c_s + I_s$$

However, currently, this hierarchy revealed itself even more deleterious due to the characteristics of the international monetary system that has come out after the collapse of the Bretton Woods Agreement, in 1971-1973, as detailed below.

3.2 Asymmetric Financial Integration

The main features of the current international monetary and financial system are: (1) the fiduciary dollar as the key currency, on the top of the currency hierarchy; (2) the floating exchange rate regime; (3) almost free capital mobility; (4) the dynamics of the current international financial system, governed by financial globalization (Prates 2005).

As Keynes (1980b) stressed during the original Bretton Woods debates, the characteristics of the international monetary system shape the profile of the international financial system. The interplay between the fiduciary and flexible key-currency and the high capital mobility environment has fostered financial market integration and financial innovations (securitization, derivatives, etc.), leading up to the financial globalization setting. This setting, in turn, has been marked by higher volatility, with capital flows, exchange rates, interest rates and assets prices subject to large short-run fluctuations and a high degree of contagion, with financial turbulence spreading from the epicenter of the system to countries and markets that apparently have no connection with the original problem (even to those considered to have 'sound' macroeconomic policies) (Chesnais 1996; Bryan 1999).

Yet, the international financial integration process is also asymmetric. Emergent countries have become even more vulnerable to the inherent volatility of capital flows, which ultimately depend on exogenous sources. In bust phases, by virtue of changes in the monetary policy in the center and/or increase in the international liquidity preference, their currencies and financial assets are the first to be sold due to their position at the lower end of the currency hierarchy. In other words, they turn up to be the main victims of global investors' "flight to quality" because of their lowest / and volatile a , and consequently, their inability to function as store of value (Andrade and Prates 2013). Furthermore, the still-marginal insertion of currencies and financial assets of emerging economies in the portfolios of these investors since the 1990s has also contributed to this process (Obstfeld and Taylor 2004).

The potentially destabilizing effects of these flows on their financial markets and exchange rates are significant. As in most of these countries, financial markets are not as liquid and deep, sales by foreign investors significantly reduce securities prices, affecting the financial position of domestic debtors, besides its direct effect on residents' external debt (Griffith-Jones 1995).

Some mainstream economists have also highlighted the boom and bust pattern of capital flows, although they do not take into account the hierarchical character of the current international monetary system. According to the recent analysis carried out by Rey (2013), this pattern is determined by a global financial cycle, which depends mainly on monetary conditions in the center country. These monetary conditions are transmitted to the rest of the world through gross credit flows and leverage, irrespective of the exchange rate regime. This channel, in turn, invalidates the traditional trilemma (i.e., "impossible trinity") view of the open economy, which states that in a world of free

capital mobility, independent monetary policies are possible only if exchange rates float.

4. Limits of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies

In the following, we discuss the limits to exchange rate (Section 4.1) and monetary (Section 4.2) policies of emerging countries that stem from the position of their currencies at the lower end of the currency hierarchy. As we will explore in the sequence the international asymmetry related to the currency hierarchy, intensified by financial globalization, imposes major constraints to the adoption of Keynesian policies for emerging economies.

4.1 Exchange Rate Policy

The policies of managed exchange rate adopted by peripheral emerging countries in the late 1980s and 1990s proved highly vulnerable to speculative attacks and culminated in successive currency crises. In most countries, these policies were replaced by de jure floating exchange rates. However, in practice domestic monetary authorities try to curb the volatility of their exchange rates through active intervention in currency markets. What drives the systematic interventions of central banks in the peripheral emerging economies' currency markets (the "fear of floating", cf. Calvo and Reinhart 2002) is the need to contain the excessive volatility of exchange rates (see Section 3.2). This defensive behavior is manifested through attempts to reduce external vulnerability by pre-emptive accumulation of foreign reserves -for the purpose of meeting unforeseen requirements- and/or by curbing the tendency of currency overvaluation during boom in capital flows (Aizenman et al 2004; Carvalho 2010). After the global financial crisis of 2008, several emerging economies have also introduced capital account regulations in response to the new boom in capital flows, which came out with huge currency appreciation pressures and risk of credit and asset price booms (IMF 2012; Fritz and Prates 2014).

The Post Keynesian literature (Schulmeister 1988; Davidson 2000; Harvey 2009) has already highlighted that short-term capital flows and expectations about differentials of yields and/or liquidity constitute the key determinants of exchange rates. Yet, in the case of emerging economies which have their currencies placed at the lower end of the currency hierarchy, the volatility of capital flows is higher than for center economies and these flows are even more sensitive to the monetary policy in the center (see Section 3.2). As exchange rates tend to be more volatile, frequent interventions by the central banks are required, which, in turn, reinforce the interaction between the

exchange rate and the policy rate. Therefore, the loss of monetary autonomy in a context of free capital mobility is greater.

Further, for peripheral emerging economies, macroeconomic challenges are not restricted to monetary policy, but also encompass exchange rate policy inasmuch the volatility of the exchange rate is harmful due to its negative impacts on growth, financial fragility and inflation (Flassbeck 2001). As already mentioned (Section 3.2), at points of reversal of the cycle, of monetary policy changes in the center or of increase in the liquidity preference, emerging financial assets are the first victims of the global investors' "flight to quality", as they cannot provide a safe haven under uncertainty on a global scale. In this setting, the liquidity premium l and the expected appreciation a are of utmost importance, since it is mainly by means of their assessment that agents in currency markets take decisions regarding which assets to demand. If investors leave these countries swiftly, this may deteriorate l even more, placing further pressure on local policy-makers to raise the interest rate (with the aim of increasing q and a), as well as to deepen the financial openness (namely, remove capital controls) to reduce c (Andrade and Prates 2013). If this policy is successful, $(a + q - c)$ will increase to compensate the lower liquidity premium l (see Section 3.1). As Grabel stressed, the movement of portfolio investment induced by these policies produce two harmful outcomes: "the exacerbation of constraints on policy autonomy; and the increased vulnerability of the economy to risk, financial volatility and crisis" (Grabel 1996: 1763).

On the other hand, in periods of capital flows' boom, when the appetite for risk is higher, emerging assets become objects of desire on the part of global investors because of the expectation of appreciation (increase in a)⁶ of their respective currencies (associated with the favorable interest rate differential), compensating for their reduced liquidity premium l .

Yet, higher capital inflows, in the form of increased external debt, and/or higher portfolio investments put pressures towards the appreciation of the domestic currency, causing boom-bust cycles of credit and capital inflows and the subsequent need to further increase the interest rate.

6 Bresser-Pereira (2010) points out that in commodity exporting countries the tendency towards the overvaluation of the exchange rate in emerging economies derives – besides the net capital inflows, stimulated by the policy of growth with foreign savings – from the problem of natural resource abundance known as the "Dutch disease".

4.2 Monetary Policy

The very concept of currency hierarchy requires a specific policy to compensate the difference between the liquidity premiums of currencies. One option could be to adopt capital controls to drive a wedge between onshore and offshore interest rates in order to provide monetary authorities with some policy autonomy (to increase or reduce interest rates). Yet, this kind of policy is difficult to succeed when there are devaluation expectations attached to this peripheral currency. When currency devaluation expectations are predominant, market actors will urgently seek to circumvent outflow controls in order to prevent future income and wealth losses.

The other option is the orthodox prescription of increasing the interest rate to compensate for the lower liquidity premium. This makes domestic investment and growth dependent on capital inflows. This growth cum external debt may in the best case increase domestic investment, however it does also cause an appreciation of the domestic currency, which in turn decreases international competitiveness. This can make the growth process unsustainable in the medium term, as growing external vulnerability creates devaluation expectations and, at some moment, causes the reversal of capital flows which may cause a financial crisis in the emerging economy.

One important factor that also contributes to higher interest rates in peripheral emerging countries is the fact that in comparison with the center countries, some traditional transmission channels of monetary policy do not work well in most of them. Firstly, the credit channel is less effective due to the smaller credit ratio of private sector to GDP. Second, the low development of capital market makes domestic expenditure less sensitive to the wealth effect. Third, as for the exchange rate channel, exchange rate volatility is higher in emerging economies (see Section 4.1). Additionally, studies have shown that exchange rate pass-through has tended to be stronger in such economies⁷ (Mohanty and Scatigna 2005); therefore, as exchange rate movements play a more prominent role than in advanced economies, central banks rely often on interest rate changes to stem exchange rate volatility.

For all these reasons, monetary policy in emerging countries has to be tightened further than in center economies in order to have the same effect on aggregate demand, what means that the sacrifice ratio of deflationary policy is frequently higher. An additional problem for emerging economies is their greater vulnerability to external shocks than advanced ones due to specificities of their external insertion: empirical studies show

⁷ The main reason is that income is negatively and significantly correlated with pass-through as lower income economies have a larger portion of traded goods in the households' consumption basket.

that the impact of external shocks on domestic inflation is more intense in emerging economies than in advanced ones, which can contribute to a higher inflation rate compared to developed economies.

These specificities of monetary policy in peripheral emerging countries make the implementation of inflation targeting regimes (ITR) – adopted by an increasing number of these countries since beginning of the 1990s – even harder than in advanced ones.

Indeed, even the standard literature recognizes that emerging countries face particular challenges when they put in place ITR due to (i) their higher pass-through (see above), (ii) the greater difficulty in forecasting inflation, because shocks are larger and have stronger effect, the productive diversification is lower and domestic financial markets are shallower, (iii) their external liabilities are overwhelmingly denominated in external currency (original sin), creating a problem of ‘fear of floating’, and (iv) many emerging economies have a credibility problem regarding monetary policy, which is at least partially interdependent with these structural features (Eichengreen 2002; Mishkin 2008).

Yet, there is an additional specific feature of ITR which applies under the condition of an open capital account that further complicates the achievement of a competitive and stable exchange rate, thus perpetuating the problem of the low position in the currency hierarchy. The problem is that a nominal appreciation of the currency is much more credible for a central bank committed to inflation targeting than depreciation, due to the negative effect of the latter on inflation through its pass-through effect. Indeed, empirical evidence shows that in many emerging countries that adopt ITR, central banks make use of deliberate asymmetric policy with respect to the exchange rate, as they avoid sharp currency depreciation and tend to tolerate currency appreciation as it contributes to the achievement of the inflation targets. Thus, under ITR, actors in the currency market internalize the expectation that the central bank has an inclination towards currency appreciation, giving it highly asymmetric power to influence the exchange rate, and creating an upward trend which may further exacerbate exchange rate volatility and related boom bust-cycles (Kaltenbrunner 2011).

It is worth mentioning that most empirical literature that compares inflation and output performance between emerging economies that adopt and that do not adopt ITR show no conclusive evidence that the first group performs better and that the differences between the two groups of countries with respect to inflation performance appears small (Brito and Bystedt 2010). Indeed, the relatively low inflation of early 1990s until

2008 may be mostly related to the impact of the entry of China and other emerging countries that produce low-cost manufactured products.

We conclude this section with two lessons for our discussion. The first one is the higher vulnerability to external shocks of peripheral emerging countries than center countries renders such economies more prone to cost-push inflation. The second lesson is that exchange rate movements pose some essential challenges to emerging economies' monetary authorities, due to the strong influence of the exchange rate on domestic inflation in these countries and also because of the effects of exchange rate volatility on real variables. While the higher vulnerability to external shocks is associated with the very peripheral condition, the essential challenges posed by exchange rate movements stem ultimately from the position of their currencies at the lower end of the currency hierarchy.

5. Currency Hierarchy and Keynesian Economic Policies for Emerging Economies

In this section we discuss the implementation of Keynesian policies for emerging economies under the conditions of currency asymmetries and financial globalization, with special focus on exchange rate policies (Section 5.1) and growth policies combined with the reduction of the interest rate differential and macroeconomic stability (Section 5.2). Such policies should be adapted to allow for an increase of the policy space to pursue in the short and medium run domestic policy goals, especially a competitive exchange rate.

5.1 Exchange Rate Policies

The recent literature that discusses what should be the most appropriate exchange rate regime for peripheral emerging economies has not considered one key issue stressed by Keynes: the adverse consequences of the position in the currency hierarchy for the degree of macroeconomic policy autonomy.

Indeed, one of the central elements of Keynes' proposal at the Bretton Woods Conference in July 1944 was to reduce the asymmetries between creditor and debtor countries, avoiding macroeconomic instability and deflationary adjustments that hindered economies from achieving full employment (Keynes 1980a, Davidson1982). In today's world, a reform of the international monetary system as proposed by Keynes would benefit, mainly, the emerging countries. However, this sort of reform still seems to be a 'monetary utopia'. We thus ask what could be the most appropriate

macroeconomic regime for allowing emerging economies to achieve full employment and macroeconomic stability.

As in this system the hierarchy among currencies engenders structural exchange rate volatility (higher a) in emerging peripheral economies, the issuers of non-liquid currencies (lower l), choice of the exchange rate regime is of utmost importance. In the short run (currency hierarchy unchangeable), exchange rate policy can mitigate the negative consequences of the system's asymmetries through its influence in the attributes a and c , while monetary policy can affect the attribute q (see Section 5.2).

We agree with other Post Keynesian authors which support the view that some sort of managed floating exchange rate regime would be the best option for most peripheral emerging economies (Ferrari-Filho and Paula 2009; Frenkel 2006). Differently from a pegged/semi-pegged exchange rate (such as crawling peg system), authorities' interventions to limit exchange rate movements do not target a certain level of the exchange rate, allowing the nominal exchange rate to float in order to disincentive speculative capital flows. Therefore, a managed floating exchange rate regime can contribute to the fall in the volatility of a . The exchange rate policy under the managed floating regime should also aim at influencing the exchange rate path. The preservation of a competitive and stable real exchange rate can be used as an intermediate target of macroeconomic policies oriented to employment and growth objectives (Frenkel 2006). This is because it is a pre-condition for obtaining trade and current account equilibrium (or even trade surplus), which would result in lower external constraints to economic growth and greater policy space to pursue Keynesian policies.

A competitive and stable exchange rate is the main target that peripheral emerging economies should pursue to achieve external competitiveness. The subordination of the exchange rate for the aim of price stability both in the case of a pegged exchange rate or an inflation targeting regime is highly problematic from this perspective. Price stability should rather be achieved by coordinated monetary, fiscal and income policies.

Yet, a crucial question still needs to be answered: Which tools are available to peripheral emerging economies to reach the aforementioned targeted exchange rate policy in the current hierarchical and asymmetrical international monetary system?

The effectiveness of monetary authorities' interventions in the currency market depends on the size of their foreign reserves and on the degree of financial openness. Indeed, central banks of peripheral emerging countries should act as market makers in their currency markets, in order to influence the exchange rate path. Yet, the larger the

foreign reserves and the lower the degree of financial openness (i.e., the broader the capital account regulation - higher c), the greater their capacity to reduce the volatility of a and to influence the exchange rate path.

Therefore, the “self-insurance” strategy of foreign reserves accumulation and capital account regulation should be seen as complementary tools of exchange rate policy. This strategy would amount to a defensive response by emerging economies which enhance the central banks’ capacity to counter speculative attacks against their currency as well as to boost the effectiveness of their interventions in the currency market in normal times with the aim of maintaining a stable and competitive exchange rate. Moreover, the very accumulation of foreign reserves contributes to the reduction of net external debt. Thus, this defensive response would result in lower volatility and a sustained increase in a , promoting an increase in the liquidity premium l of their currency. On their turn, capital account regulation works as a filter that softens the effects of fickle capital flows (Greenville 2000), enlarging the maneuverability of exchange rate policy through its impact on the attribute c (the broader the capital account regulation, the higher c will be). Therefore, despite the advances made by the IMF (2012), its new framework on capital flow management does not provide the necessary policy space for emerging economies inasmuch the IMF still supports financial liberalization in these economies as a final goal, which in turn sets tight boundaries to their policy space. On the contrary, we support herein that capital account regulation should be a permanent part of these countries’ exchange rate regime and, thus, of their overall macroeconomic regime (Fritz and Prates 2014).

5.2 Policies to Achieve Macroeconomic Stability and to Reduce the Interest Rate Differential

Of particular interest in our discussion is the following question: How can an emerging economy create conditions for alleviating pressure on monetary policy, as the lower liquidity premium has to be compensated by higher interest rates? A reduction in the interest rate if achieved could contribute to increase the liquidity premium (l) of this economy (Equation 2).

The tendency for a higher interest rate could be offset by regulation of capital flows for driving a wedge between onshore and offshore interest rates in order to provide monetary authorities some policy autonomy. In particular, the combination of foreign exchange reserves and some capital account regulation can contribute to greater stability in the foreign exchange market, as financial agents would have the perception that economic authorities have enough instruments to reduce the volatility of capital

flows, and doing so can also contribute to reduction of the exchange rate risk and, consequently, lowering the domestic interest rate.

Further, the attachment of a sustained surplus (or, at least, equilibrium) in the trade and current account balance by reducing the country's necessity of foreign capital could be a structural factor for the fall in domestic interest rates, as it reduces the necessity of having a high interest differential to attract capital inflows. This is one of the main reasons why most East Asian developing countries have decreased significantly their interest rates compared to Latin American ones, at least during the last decade.

Measures to stimulate the development of domestic financial markets by diversifying firms' financing sources can help to reduce country's external vulnerability and also to strengthen some traditional transmission mechanisms of monetary policy (see Section 4.2) to allow a reduction in the level of interest rates. Of paramount importance are government efforts to reduce reliance on indexed public debt and at the same time to increase public financing through fixed rate bonds, leading to the development of a long-term yield curve. In particular, macroeconomic stability favors government's efforts to improve public debt and the corporate bond market. Greater access to domestic financing derived from the development of the domestic bond market can also contribute to the reduction of currency mismatches and can consequently reduce problems related to the 'original sin' (Mohanty 2012). In short, the combination of low interest rates (to support investment in fixed capital) with a low and relatively stable exchange rate (supportive to net export growth), in addition to the implementation of a countercyclical and flexible fiscal policy, would stimulate entrepreneurs to make riskier choices than just accumulating liquid assets.

For the reasons that we have explored elsewhere in this paper, ITR is not the most appropriate economic policy framework for peripheral emerging countries. Their central banks should have a double target: employment and inflation. As for the inflation target, we should consider some issues.

First, if monetary authorities have more than one goal, they need more than one tool, that can include, among others, macro-prudential policies, including prudential credit controls (for instance, with the use of public banks), and financial regulation. Due to the effects of the interest rate on investment decision in capital assets, the use of interest rate policy for price stabilization purposes should be avoided, and alternatively a broader and pragmatic policy should be used for such a goal, depending on the characteristic of each type of inflation. As emerging economies are more vulnerable to supply shocks, a pragmatic policy should include the use by government of buffer

stock of some essential products, the management of administrative prices (such as private health plans), and/or some stability of the exchange rate (at a level that does not jeopardize external competitiveness). The implementation of some sort of income policy could contribute to 'anchor' prices: for instance, the adoption of internal rules for nominal wage growth, which could follow productivity growth plus some inflation index. Indeed, adjustment of real wages to productivity can work as a sustainable stabilizer of domestic demand (Flassbeck 2014), and at the same time can be used as a policy of gradual real wage gains inasmuch as in many of peripheral emerging countries, the wage bargain is weak.

Second, empirical studies on optimum intervals of inflation show the existence of a non-linear relation between the inflation rate and economic growth. Most studies found critical levels higher in emerging economies than in advanced ones⁸. One of the reasons is that a higher rate of GDP growth in the former generates a higher rate of increase in non-tradable goods' prices relative to developed countries. Based on this reasoning we can state that the catching-up of emerging countries to developed countries demands different target levels of inflation, because targets that are too low can compromise economic growth in such economies.

6. Conclusion

In this paper we analyzed how the set of Post Keynesian policies formulated to pursue the goal of full employment should be adjusted for the case of emerging economies under the conditions of a global monetary and financial order characterized by center-periphery relations which shape the global distribution of income. Within the global currency hierarchy, the currencies of peripheral emerging economies are characterized by a lower liquidity premium than the currencies of center economies, which is severely damaging for a sustained growth process. Under these conditions, we argue that economic policy coordination should lay a major focus on exchange rate policies. It seems to be relevant to avoid appreciation of the domestic currency beyond a level which allows at least for a balanced current account in order to prevent boom-bust-cycles in capital flows with subsequent financial crises and their damaging effects on employment and growth. In order to attain such a goal, it is important to sustain a stable and low interest rate alongside the strategies of foreign reserves accumulation and capital account regulation.

The maintenance of an exchange rate aim for an equilibrated current account is itself a rather complex task which requires not only supportive monetary policy, but also

8 See, among others, Pollin and Zhu (2009).

wage policies. Policy coordination certainly is a key issue here, especially to relieve monetary policy while at the same time maintaining a moderately low level of inflation, and to create policy space for countercyclical policies.

If this kind of policy set already is rather ambitious, this holds even more for policy prescriptions to climb up the currency hierarchy. Even if the attribute of liquidity premium (l) is rather unchangeable in the short run, the accumulation of trade and current account surpluses at the medium term can be a necessary (but not sufficient) condition to change this attribute. A low level of net external debt, combined with export surpluses, may be able to create medium term currency appreciation expectations a , which would result in an increasing demand for this currency giving room to lower the domestic interest rate q (Riese 2004). The subsequent higher growth rates, together with currency appreciation expectations, may in the long term enable this peripheral currency to climb the global hierarchy, allowing gradually the country to issue external debt in its own currency.

Here, certainly further research in terms of country studies is required. There is an additional challenge, as a key condition here seems to be the accumulation of trade and current account surpluses. This, however, depends on the ability and willingness of other economies to accept the counterpart of cumulative trade and current account deficits, as these economies can suffer the consequences of cumulative destabilization. It thus seems that not all countries can climb the ladder of the currency hierarchy at the same time, especially without others declining.

7. Bibliography

- Aizenman, Joshua; Lee, Yeonho and Rhee, Yeongseop (2004): “International Reserves Management and Capital Mobility in a Volatile World: Policy Considerations and a Case Study of Korea”, *NBER Working Paper* No. 10534.
- Andrade, Rogerio P. and Prates, Daniela Magalhães (2013): “Exchange Rate Dynamics in a Peripheral Monetary Economy”, in: *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*, 35, 399-416.
- Arestis, Philip and Sawyer, Malcolm (1998): “Keynesian Economic Policies for the New Millennium”, in: *The Economic Journal*, 108, 181-195.
- (2006a): “Interest Rates and the Real Economy”, in: Gnos, Claude and Rochon, Louis-Philippe (eds.), *Post Keynesian Principles of Economic Policy*. Aldershot: Edward Elgar, 3-20.
- (2006b): “The Nature and Role of Monetary Policy when Money is Endogenous”, in: *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 30, 847-860.
- Bielschowsky, Ricardo (2015): “Structuralist Reflections on Current Latin American Development”, in: Fritz, Barbara and Lavinás, Lena (eds.): *A Moment of Equality for Latin America? Challenges for Redistribution*, Ashgate [forthcoming].
- Bresser-Pereira, Luiz Carlos (2010): *Globalization and Competition: Why Some Emergent Countries Succeed while Others Fall Behind*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bryan, Dick (1999): “Internationalization of Capital”, in: O’Hara, P.A. (ed.), *Encyclopedia of Political Economy*, London: Routledge, 580-582.
- Brito, Ricardo and Bystedt, Brianne (2010): “Inflation Targeting in Emerging Economies: Panel Evidence”, in: *Journal of Development Economics*, 91, 198–210.
- Calvo, Guillermo and Reinhart, Carmen (2002): “Fear of Floating”, in: *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 117, 2, 379-408.
- Carvalho, Fernando J.C. (1992): *Mr Keynes and the Post Keynesians*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- (2010): “The Accumulation of International Reserves as a Defensive Strategy”, in: Griffith-Jones, Stephany; Ocampo, José A. and Stiglitz, Joseph (eds.), *Time for a Visible Hand: Lessons from the 2008 World Financial Crisis*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 269-286.

Chesnais, François (1996): "Introduction Générale", in: Chesnais, François (ed.), *La Mondialisation Financière: Genèse, Coût et Enjeux*, Paris: Syros, 9-31.

Cohen, Benjamin J. (1998): *The Geography of Money*, Ithaca, Cornell University Press.

(2004): *The Future of Money*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Davidson, Paul (1982): *International Money and the Real World*. New York: Wiley.

(1994): *Post Keynesian Macroeconomic Theory*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

(2000): "Liquidity vs. Efficiency in Liberalized International Financial Markets: A Warning to Developing Economies", in: *Brazilian Journal of Political Economy*, 20, 3, 3-21.

Eichengreen, Barry (2002): "Can Emerging Markets Float? Should they Inflation Target?", *Banco Central do Brasil Working Paper No. 36*.

Eichengreen, Barry and Hausmann, Ricardo (eds.) (2005): *Other People's Money: Debt Denomination and Financial Instability in Emerging Market Economies*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Eichengreen, Barry, Hausmann, Ricardo and Panizza, Ugo (2007): "Currency Mismatches, Debt Intolerance, and the Original Sin: Why They Are not the Same and why It Matters", in: Edwards, S. (ed.), *Capital Controls and Capital Flows in Emerging Economies*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 121-164.

Ferrari-Filho, Fernando and de Paula, Luiz Fernando (2009): "Exchange Rate Regime Proposal for Emerging Countries: A Keynesian Perspective", in: *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*, 31, 227-248.

Flandreau, Marc and Sussmann, Nathan (2005): "Old Sins: Exchange Clauses and European Foreign Lending in the Nineteenth Century", in: Eichengreen, B. and Hausmann, R. (eds.), *Other People's Money*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 154-189.

Flassbeck, Heiner (2001): "The Exchange Rate: Market Price or Economic Policy Tool?", *UNCTAD Discussion Paper No. 149*.

(2014): "Competitive Exchange Rates and Macroeconomic Theory", in: Bresser-Pereira, Luiz Carlos; Kregel, Jan and Burlamaqui, Leonardo (eds.), *Financial Stability and Growth: Perspectives on Financial Regulation and New Developmentalism*, Abington: Routledge, 15-25.

- Frenkel, Roberto (2006): “An Alternative to Inflation Targeting in Latin America: Macroeconomic Policies Focused on Employment”, in: *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*, 28, 4, 573-591.
- Fritz, Barbara and Prates, Daniela Magalhães (2014): “The New IMF Approach to Capital Account Management and its Blind Spots: Lessons from Brazil and South Korea”, in: *International Review of Applied Economics*, 28, 210 - 239.
- Gabel, Ilene (1996): “Marketing the Third World: The Contradictions of Portfolio Investment in the Global Economy”, in: *World Development*, 24, 11, 1761-1776.
- Greenville, Stephen A. (2000): “Exchange Rate Regimes for Emerging Markets”, *Reserve Bank of Australia Bulletin*, November.
- Griffith-Jones, Stephany (1995): “Globalización de los mercados financieros y el impacto de los flujos hacia los países en desarrollo. Nuevos desafíos para la regulación”, in: *Pensamiento Iberoamericano*, 27, 41-76.
- Harvey, John T. (2009): *Currencies, Capital Flows and Crises: A Post Keynesian Analysis of Exchange Rate Determination*, London: Routledge.
- International Monetary Fund (IMF 2012): *The Liberalization and Management of Capital Flows: An Institutional View*, at: <http://www.imf.org/external/np/pp/eng/2012/111412.pdf> (last access 03/18/2013).
- Kaltenbrunner, Annina (2011): *Currency Internationalization and Exchange Rate Dynamics in Emerging Markets: A Post-Keynesian Analysis of Brazil* [PhD Thesis, School of Oriental and African Studies (SOAS), University of London].
- Keynes, John Maynard (1936): *The General Theory of Employment, Interest, and Money*, London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- (1980a): *Activities 1940-46 Shaping the Post World: Employment and Commodities*, Collected Writings of John Maynard Keynes, Vol. XXVII, London: Macmillan.
- (1980b): *Activities 1940-44: Shaping the Post-War World, the Clearing Union*, The Collected Writings of John Maynard Keynes, Vol. XXV, London: Macmillan.
- Lustig, Nora (1988): “Del Estructuralismo al Neoestructuralismo. La Búsqueda de un Paradigma Heterodoxo”, *Estudios CIEPLAN*, No. 23.
- Mishkin, Frederic S. (2008): “Challenges for Inflation Targeting in Emerging Market Countries”, *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 44, 6, 5-16.

- Mohanty, Madhusudan (2012): "Fiscal Policy, Public Debt and Monetary Policy in EMEs: An Overview", *BIS Papers* No. 67.
- Mohanty, Madhusudan and Scatigna, Michela (2005): "Has Globalization Reduced Monetary Policy Independence?", *BIS Papers* No. 23.
- Obstfeld, Maurice and Taylor, Alan M. (2004): *Global Capital Markets: Integration, Crisis, and Growth*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ocampo, José A. and Martin, Juan (2003): *Globalization and Development: A Latin American and Caribbean Perspective*, Palo Alto: Stanford University Press.
- Pollin, Robert and Zhu, Andong (2009): "Inflation and Economic Growth: A Cross-country Non-linear Analysis". In Epstein, Gerald and Yeldan, Erinc (ed.). *Beyond Inflation Targeting*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 116-136.
- Prates, Daniela Magalhães (2005): "As Assimetrias do Sistema Monetário e Financeiro Internacional", in: *Revista de Economia Contemporânea*, 9, 2, 263-288.
- Prebisch, Raúl (1950): *The Economic Development of Latin America and Its Principal Problems*, New York: United Nations Department of Economic Affairs.
- Rey, Hélène (2013): "Dilemma not Trilemma: The Global Financial Cycle and Monetary Policy Independence" [London Business School, CEPR and NBER, Jackson Hole Symposium].
- Riese, Hajo (2004): "Development Strategy and Economic Theory: Comments on a Neglected Topic", in: Hölscher, Jeans and Tomann, Horst (eds.), *Money, Development and Economic Transformation: Selected Essays*, London/New York: Palgrave, 84-113.
- Schulmeister, Stefan (1988): "Currency Speculation and Dollar Fluctuations", *Banca Nazionale Del Lavoro Quarterly Review*, 167, 343-365.
- Sicsú, Joao (2001): "Credible Monetary Policy: A Post Keynesian Approach", in: *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics*, 23, 4, 670-686.

Working Papers published since February 2011:

1. Therborn, Göran 2011: "Inequalities and Latin America: From the Enlightenment to the 21st Century".
2. Reis, Elisa 2011: "Contemporary Challenges to Equality".
3. Korzeniewicz, Roberto Patricio 2011: "Inequality: On Some of the Implications of a World-Historical Perspective".
4. Braig, Marianne; Costa, Sérgio und Göbel, Barbara 2013: "Soziale Ungleichheiten und globale Interdependenzen in Lateinamerika: eine Zwischenbilanz".
5. Aguerre, Lucía Alicia 2011: "Desigualdades, racismo cultural y diferencia colonial".
6. Acuña Ortega, Víctor Hugo 2011: "Destino Manifiesto, filibusterismo y representaciones de desigualdad étnico-racial en las relaciones entre Estados Unidos y Centroamérica".
7. Tancredi, Elda 2011: "Asimetrías de conocimiento científico en proyectos ambientales globales. La fractura Norte-Sur en la Evaluación de Ecosistemas del Milenio".
8. Lorenz, Stella 2011: "Das Eigene und das Fremde: Zirkulationen und Verflechtungen zwischen eugenischen Vorstellungen in Brasilien und Deutschland zu Beginn des 20. Jahrhunderts".
9. Costa, Sérgio 2011: "Researching Entangled Inequalities in Latin America: The Role of Historical, Social, and Transregional Interdependencies".
10. Daudelin, Jean and Samy, Yiagadeesen 2011: "'Flipping' Kuznets: Evidence from Brazilian Municipal Level Data on the Linkage between Income and Inequality".
11. Boatcă, Manuela 2011: "Global Inequalities: Transnational Processes and Transregional Entanglements".
12. Rosati, Germán 2012: "Un acercamiento a la dinámica de los procesos de apropiación/expropiación. Diferenciación social y territorial en una estructura agraria periférica, Chaco (Argentina) 1988-2002".
13. Ströbele-Gregor, Juliana 2012: "Lithium in Bolivien: Das staatliche Lithium-Programm, Szenarien sozio-ökologischer Konflikte und Dimensionen sozialer Ungleichheit".

14. Ströbele-Gregor, Juliana 2012: "Litio en Bolivia. El plan gubernamental de producción e industrialización del litio, escenarios de conflictos sociales y ecológicos, y dimensiones de desigualdad social".
15. Gómez, Pablo Sebastián 2012: "Circuitos migratorios Sur-Sur y Sur-Norte en Paraguay. Desigualdades interdependientes y remesas".
16. Sabato, Hilda 2012: "Political Citizenship, Equality, and Inequalities in the Formation of the Spanish American Republics".
17. Manuel-Navarrete, David 2012: "Entanglements of Power and Spatial Inequalities in Tourism in the Mexican Caribbean".
18. Góngora-Mera, Manuel Eduardo 2012: "Transnational Articulations of Law and Race in Latin America: A Legal Genealogy of Inequality".
19. Chazarreta, Adriana Silvina 2012: "El abordaje de las desigualdades en un contexto de reconversión socio-productiva. El caso de la inserción internacional de la vitivinicultura de la Provincia de Mendoza, Argentina".
20. Guimarães, Roberto P. 2012: "Environment and Socioeconomic Inequalities in Latin America: Notes for a Research Agenda".
21. Ulloa, Astrid 2012: "Producción de conocimientos en torno al clima. Procesos históricos de exclusión/apropiación de saberes y territorios de mujeres y pueblos indígenas".
22. Canessa, Andrew 2012: "Conflict, Claim and Contradiction in the New Indigenous State of Bolivia".
23. Latorre, Sara 2012: "Territorialities of Power in the Ecuadorian Coast: The Politics of an Environmentally Dispossessed Group".
24. Cicalo, André 2012: "Brazil and its African Mirror: Discussing 'Black' Approximations in the South Atlantic".
25. Massot, Emilie 2012: "Autonomía cultural y hegemonía desarrollista en la Amazonía peruana. El caso de las comunidades mestizas-riberañas del Alto-Momón".
26. Wintersteen, Kristin 2012: "Protein from the Sea: The Global Rise of Fishmeal and the Industrialization of Southeast Pacific Fisheries, 1918-1973".

27. Martínez Franzoni, Juliana and Sánchez-Ancochea, Diego 2012: "The Double Challenge of Market and Social Incorporation: Progress and Bottlenecks in Latin America".
28. Matta, Raúl 2012: "El patrimonio culinario peruano ante UNESCO. Algunas reflexiones de gastro-política".
29. Armijo, Leslie Elliott 2012: "Equality and Multilateral Financial Cooperation in the Americas".
30. Lepenies, Philipp 2012: "Happiness and Inequality: Insights into a Difficult Relationship – and Possible Political Implications".
31. Sánchez, Valeria 2012: "La equidad-igualdad en las políticas sociales latinoamericanas. Las propuestas de Consejos Asesores Presidenciales chilenos (2006-2008)".
32. Villa Lever, Lorenza 2012: "Flujos de saber en cincuenta años de Libros de Texto Gratuitos de Historia. Las representaciones sobre las desigualdades sociales en México".
33. Jiménez, Juan Pablo y López Azcúnaga, Isabel 2012: "¿Disminución de la desigualdad en América Latina? El rol de la política fiscal".
34. Gonzaga da Silva, Elaini C. 2012: "Legal Strategies for Reproduction of Environmental Inequalities in Waste Trade: The Brazil – Retreaded Tyres Case".
35. Fritz, Barbara and Prates, Daniela 2013: "The New IMF Approach to Capital Account Management and its Blind Spots: Lessons from Brazil and South Korea".
36. Rodrigues-Silveira, Rodrigo 2013: "The Subnational Method and Social Policy Provision: Socioeconomic Context, Political Institutions and Spatial Inequality".
37. Bresser-Pereira, Luiz Carlos 2013: "State-Society Cycles and Political Pacts in a National-Dependent Society: Brazil".
38. López Rivera, Diana Marcela 2013: "Flows of Water, Flows of Capital: Neoliberalization and Inequality in Medellín's Urban Waterscape".
39. Briones, Claudia 2013: "Conocimientos sociales, conocimientos académicos. Asimetrías, colaboraciones autonomías".

40. Dussel Peters, Enrique 2013: "Recent China-LAC Trade Relations: Implications for Inequality?".
41. Backhouse, Maria; Baquero Melo, Jairo and Costa, Sérgio 2013: "Between Rights and Power Asymmetries: Contemporary Struggles for Land in Brazil and Colombia".
42. Geoffray, Marie Laure 2013: "Internet, Public Space and Contention in Cuba: Bridging Asymmetries of Access to Public Space through Transnational Dynamics of Contention".
43. Roth, Julia 2013: "Entangled Inequalities as Intersectionalities: Towards an Epistemic Sensibilization".
44. Sproll, Martina 2013: "Precarization, Genderization and Neotaylorist Work: How Global Value Chain Restructuring Affects Banking Sector Workers in Brazil".
45. Lillemets, Krista 2013: "Global Social Inequalities: Review Essay".
46. Tornhill, Sofie 2013: "Index Politics: Negotiating Competitiveness Agendas in Costa Rica and Nicaragua".
47. Caggiano, Sergio 2013: "Desigualdades divergentes. Organizaciones de la sociedad civil y sindicatos ante las migraciones laborales".
48. Figurelli, Fernanda 2013: "Movimientos populares agrarios. Asimetrías, disputas y entrelazamientos en la construcción de lo campesino".
49. D'Amico, Victoria 2013: "La desigualdad como definición de la cuestión social en las agendas transnacionales sobre políticas sociales para América Latina. Una lectura desde las ciencias sociales".
50. Gras, Carla 2013: "Agronegocios en el Cono Sur. Actores sociales, desigualdades y entrelazamientos transregionales".
51. Lavinás, Lena 2013: "Latin America: Anti-Poverty Schemes Instead of Social Protection".
52. Guimarães, Antonio Sérgio A. 2013: "Black Identities in Brazil: Ideologies and Rhetoric".
53. Boanada Fuchs, Vanessa 2013: "Law and Development: Critiques from a Decolonial Perspective".

54. Araujo, Kathya 2013: "Interactive Inequalities and Equality in the Social Bond: A Sociological Study of Equality".
55. Reis, Elisa P. and Silva, Graziella Moraes Dias 2013: "Global Processes and National Dilemmas: The Uncertain Consequences of the Interplay of Old and New Repertoires of Social Identity and Inclusion".
56. Poth, Carla 2013: "La ciencia en el Estado. Un análisis del andamiaje regulatorio e institucional de las biotecnologías agrarias en Argentina".
57. Pedroza, Luicy 2013: "Extensiones del derecho de voto a inmigrantes en Latinoamérica: ¿contribuciones a una ciudadanía política igualitaria? Una agenda de investigación".
58. Leal, Claudia and Van Ausdal, Shawn 2013: "Landscapes of Freedom and Inequality: Environmental Histories of the Pacific and Caribbean Coasts of Colombia".
59. Martín, Eloísa 2013: "(Re)producción de desigualdades y (re)producción de conocimiento. La presencia latinoamericana en la publicación académica internacional en Ciencias Sociales".
60. Kerner, Ina 2013: "Differences of Inequality: Tracing the Socioeconomic, the Cultural and the Political in Latin American Postcolonial Theory".
61. Lepenies, Philipp 2013: "Das Ende der Armut. Zur Entstehung einer aktuellen politischen Vision".
62. Vessuri, Hebe; Sánchez-Rose, Isabelle; Hernández-Valencia, Ismael; Hernández, Lionel; Bravo, Lelys y Rodríguez, Iokiñe 2014: "Desigualdades de conocimiento y estrategias para reducir las asimetrías. El trabajo de campo compartido y la negociación transdisciplinaria".
63. Bocarejo, Diana 2014: "Languages of Stateness: Development, Governance and Inequality".
64. Correa-Cabrera, Guadalupe 2014: "Desigualdades y flujos globales en la frontera noreste de México. Los efectos de la migración, el comercio, la extracción y venta de energéticos y el crimen organizado transnacional".
65. Segura, Ramiro 2014: "El espacio urbano y la (re)producción de desigualdades sociales. Desacoples entre distribución del ingreso y patrones de urbanización en ciudades latinoamericanas".

66. Reis, Eustáquio J. 2014: "Historical Perspectives on Regional Income Inequality in Brazil, 1872-2000".
67. Boyer, Robert 2014: "Is More Equality Possible in Latin America? A Challenge in a World of Contrasted but Interdependent Inequality Regimes".
68. Córdoba, María Soledad 2014: "Ensamblando actores. Una mirada antropológica sobre el tejido de alianzas en el universo del agronegocio".
69. Hansing, Katrin and Orozco, Manuel 2014: "The Role and Impact of Remittances on Small Business Development during Cuba's Current Economic Reforms".
70. Martínez Franzoni, Juliana and Sánchez-Ancochea, Diego 2014: "Should Policy Aim at Having All People on the Same Boat? The Definition, Relevance and Challenges of Universalism in Latin America".
71. Góngora-Mera, Manuel; Herrera, Gioconda and Müller, Conrad 2014: "The Frontiers of Universal Citizenship: Transnational Social Spaces and the Legal Status of Migrants in Ecuador".
72. Pérez Sáinz, Juan Pablo 2014: "El tercer momento rousseauiano de América Latina. Posneoliberalismo y desigualdades sociales".
73. Jelin, Elizabeth 2014: "Desigualdades de clase, género y etnicidad/raza. Realidades históricas, aproximaciones analíticas".
74. Dietz, Kristina 2014: "Researching Inequalities from a Socio-ecological Perspective".
75. Zhouri, Andréa 2014: "Mapping Environmental Inequalities in Brazil: Mining, Environmental Conflicts and Impasses of Mediation".
76. Panther, Stephan 2014: "Institutions in a World System: Contours of a Research Program".
77. Villa Lever, Lorenza 2015: "Globalization, Class and Gender Inequalities in Mexican Higher Education".
78. Reygadas, Luis 2015: "The Symbolic Dimension of Inequalities".
79. Ströbele-Gregor, Juliana 2015: "Desigualdades estructurales en el aprovechamiento de un recurso estratégico. La economía global del litio y el caso de Bolivia".

80. de Paula, Luiz Fernando; Fritz, Barbara and Prates, Daniela M. 2015: "Center and Periphery in International Monetary Relations: Implications for Macroeconomic Policies in Emerging Economies".

desiguALdades.net

desiguALdades.net is an interdisciplinary, international, and multi-institutional research network on social inequalities in Latin America supported by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF, German Federal Ministry of Education and Research) in the frame of its funding line on area studies. The Lateinamerika-Institut (LAI, Institute for Latin American Studies) of the Freie Universität Berlin and the Ibero-Amerikanisches Institut of the Stiftung Preussischer Kulturbesitz (IAI, Ibero-American Institute of the Prussian Cultural Heritage Foundation, Berlin) are in overall charge of the research network.

The objective of *desiguALdades.net* is to work towards a shift in the research on social inequalities in Latin America in order to overcome all forms of “methodological nationalism”. Intersections of different types of social inequalities and interdependencies between global and local constellations of social inequalities are at the focus of analysis. For achieving this shift, researchers from different regions and disciplines as well as experts either on social inequalities and/or on Latin America are working together. The network character of *desiguALdades.net* is explicitly set up to overcome persisting hierarchies in knowledge production in social sciences by developing more symmetrical forms of academic practices based on dialogue and mutual exchange between researchers from different regional and disciplinary contexts.

Further information on www.desiguALdades.net

Executive Institutions of **desiguALdades.net**



**Ibero-Amerikanisches
Institut**
Preußischer Kulturbesitz

Contact

desiguALdades.net
Freie Universität Berlin
Boltzmannstr. 1
D-14195 Berlin, Germany

Tel: +49 30 838 53069
www.desiguALdades.net
e-mail: contacto@desiguALdades.net

SPONSORED BY THE



Federal Ministry
of Education
and Research